**STATE OF ILLINOIS**

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT**

**COUNTY OF DU PAGE**

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS )

PLAINTIFF, )

)

) CRIMINAL

VS ) No.

)

)

)

DEFENDANT. )

**MOTION TO SUPPRESS IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE**

NOW COMES the Defendant, , by his attorney, , and moves this Honorable Court to enter an order suppressing certain identification evidence and testimony witnesses that the State has indicated will be called to testify against him in the above-entitled cause. In support of this motion it is alleged as follows:

1. That the defendant was arrested on , and charged with the offense of .

2. That on or about the day of , the complaining witness was shown a photograph or photographs of defendant.

3. That the witness, , allegedly made an identification of defendant during the photographic identification procedure.

4. That said photographic identification procedure was not fairly conducted, and deprived defendant of his right to due process of law under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution in that:

a. The photographic identification took place at a time when defendant was in the custody of government officials and a proper witness-suspect confrontation was possible;

b. The compositions and construction of the photographic array was such as to improperly suggest the identification of the defendant as the perpetrator of the offense, i.e., there was a significant disparity in age, height, dress, complexion, and other distinguishing characteristics between the photograph of defendant and that of other individuals in the array, and there was an inadequate number of photographs of other subjects presented for comparison;

c. The conduct of the police and/or other governmental officials was such as to improperly suggest the identification of the photograph of defendant as the perpetrator of the offense;

d. The conduct of bystanders and others present was such as to improperly suggest the identification of the defendant as the perpetrator of the offense;

e. Various witnesses were allowed to be simultaneously present at the photographic identification and were allowed to make their identification of the defendant in each other's presence or were allowed to discuss amongst themselves their identification of the accused during the identification process; and

f. The emotional and physical condition of the witness was such as to impair his ability to make a fair, rational and reasoned identification of the offender in this case.

5. That the witness, prior to any corporeal identification of the defendant, observed photographs presented in a manner which was unnecessarily suggestive.

6. That the identification of defendant by was a direct result of the unnecessarily suggestive procedures used during the photographic identification procedure.

7. That the actions of the police and/or other governmental officials were unnecessary under the facts and circumstances of this case, and for the reasons stated and which will be developed at the hearing on this motion, were unnecessarily conducive to and resulted in an irreparable mistaken identification.

WHEREFORE, defendant prays that this Honorable Court enter an order suppressing:

A. Any and all evidence relating to the pre-trial identification of defendant by such witnesses as were involved in the improper pre-trial identification.

B. Any in-court identification of the accused by such witnesses as were involved in the improper pretrial identification inasmuch as such identification is the product of the improper pre-trial identification, unless the State shows by clear and convincing evidence that the in-court identification is not tainted and is fully independent of the pre-trial identification.

, Defendant

By\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

, His Attorney