

A person commits the act of improper window tinting when she operates a motor vehicle with window treatments that allow less than 30% light transmittance applied to the windows immediately adjacent to each side of the driver.

State's Instruction No. ____

Non IPI, 625 ILCS 5/12-503(a)(5)

Given

Given as Modified

Refused

Withdrawn

Given

To sustain the charge of improper window tinting, the State must prove the following propositions:

First Proposition: That the defendant operated a motor vehicle with window treatments that allow less than 30% light transmittance; and

Second Proposition: That the window treatments were applied to the windows immediately adjacent to each side of the driver.

If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that this proposition has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find the defendant guilty.

If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that this proposition has not been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find the defendant not guilty

State's Instruction No. ____

Non IPI, 625 ILCS 5/12-503(a)(5)

Given

Given as Modified

Refused

Withdrawn

Given Over State Objection

Given Over Defense Objection

Given