

A person commits the act of improper lighting when she operates a motor vehicle that does not exhibit at least 2 lighted head lamps, with at least one on each side of the front of the vehicle showing white lights, including that emitted by high intensity discharge (HID) lamps, or lights of a yellow or amber tint, during the period from sunset to sunrise.

State's Instruction No. \_\_\_\_

Non IPI, 625 ILCS 5/12-201(b)

- Given
- Given as Modified
- Refused
- Withdrawn
- Given Over State Objection
- Given Over Defense Objection

*Given*

To sustain the charge of improper lighting, the State must prove the following propositions:

*First Proposition:* That the defendant operated a motor vehicle that did not exhibit at least 2 lighted head lamps, with at least one on each side of the front of the vehicle showing white lights, including that emitted by high intensity discharge (HID) lamps, or lights of a yellow or amber tint; and

*Second Proposition:* That the defendant drove without proper headlamps during the period from sunset to sunrise.

If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that this proposition has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find the defendant guilty.

If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that this proposition has not been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find the defendant not guilty

State's Instruction No. \_\_\_\_

Non IPI, 625 ILCS 5/12-503(a)(5)

Given

Given as Modified

Refused

Withdrawn

*Given.*